

***Remarks***

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 10-26 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 16, and 23 being the independent claims.

Claim 1 is amended above to correct an error in the text of the claim. Specifically, the term "selected to contain" was incorrectly included in that claim as filed. However, it is clear that the second beam cannot contain a remote monitoring station. Rather, the second beam is transmitted to a remote monitoring station. It is believed that the foregoing amendment does not alter the scope of claim 1 as properly construed and in fact clarifies the invention defined therein.


It is requested that the foregoing amendment to claim 1 be entered in this application for purposes of clarifying the claims on appeal.

***Conclusion***

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Edward J. Kessler  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 25,688

Date: October 21, 2009

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934  
(202) 371-2600  
1043392\_1.DOC